# COMMITTEE ON LEGISLATIVE RESEARCH OVERSIGHT DIVISION

# **FISCAL NOTE**

<u>L.R. No.</u>: 0130-01 <u>Bill No.</u>: SB 72

Subject: Teachers; Elementary and Secondary Education Dept; Education, Elementary and

Secondary, Education, Higher

<u>Type</u>: Original

Date: January 9, 2003

# **FISCAL SUMMARY**

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON GENERAL REVENUE FUND				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2004	FY 2005	FY 2006	
Total Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue				
Fund	\$0	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON OTHER STATE FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2004	FY 2005	FY 2006	
Total Estimated Net Effect on Other State Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	

Numbers within parentheses: ( ) indicate costs or losses.

This fiscal note contains 3 pages.

L.R. No. 0130-01 Bill No. SB 72 Page 2 of 3 January 9, 2003

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2004	FY 2005	FY 2006	
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>All</u> Federal Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON LOCAL FUNDS			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2004	FY 2005	FY 2006
<b>School Districts</b>	\$0	\$0	\$0

#### FISCAL ANALYSIS

#### **ASSUMPTION**

Officials from the **Department of Higher Education (DHE)** indicated there would be no fiscal impact to DHE as a result of this proposal.

Officials from the **Department of Elementary and Secondary Education** stated that since the proposal is permissive, there would be no fiscal impact on the agency. However, there could be a cost to the charter schools to retain the accrediting agency.

Official from **Central Missouri State University** stated there would be no fiscal impact to the University.

Officials from the **Kansas City Missouri Public School District** stated there would be no fiscal impact to the District.

	<b>\$0</b>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>
FISCAL IMPACT - State Government	FY 2004 (10 Mo.)	FY 2005	FY 2006

L.R. No. 0130-01 Bill No. SB 72 Page 3 of 3 January 9, 2003

	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	\$0
FISCAL IMPACT - Local Government	FY 2004 (10 Mo.)	FY 2005	FY 2006

### FISCAL IMPACT - Small Business

Charter schools, although receiving state aid, are governed by private boards and may be operated by either profit or not-for profit entities. Charter schools may incur expenses associated with retaining an accrediting agency, but since the language in the proposal gives charter schools options regarding showing compliance with standards **Oversight** assumes no fiscal impact.

#### DESCRIPTION

This proposal provides that charter schools shall be subject to the same accreditation standards and accreditation process currently applied to other public schools.

This legislation is not federally mandated, would not duplicate any other program and would not require additional capital improvements or rental space. This proposal would not affect Total State Revenue.

#### SOURCES OF INFORMATION

Department of Elementary and Secondary Education
Department of Higher Education
Central Missouri State University
University of Missouri
University of Missouri - St Louis

Mickey Wilson, CPA

Mickey Wilen

Director

January 9, 2003